

CODE 4

OBJECT Execution Policy

APPROVAL	Board of Directors
DATE OF APPROVAL	January 30 th , 2025
DATE OF VALIDITY	From January 30 th , 2025
VERSION	06

REVISION HISTORY	DATE	APPROVAL/ VALIDATION	CHANGES INTRODUCED
1 st version	April 30 th , 2020	Board of Directors	
2 nd version	June 30 th , 2021	Board of Directors	Broker List Review. Company name update
3 rd version	July 29 th , 2022	Board of Directors	Broker List Review. Delete references to portfolio management service
4 th version	January 27th, 2023	Board of Directors	Broker List Review. Inclusion of references to portfolio management service
5 th version	January 26 th , 2024	Board of Directors	Broker List Review. Clarification that the portfolio management service is not currently active.
6 th version	January 30th, 2025	Board of Directors	Broker List Review. Annual review.



Contents

1	GLOSSARY AND DEFINITIONS	3
2	INTRODUCTION	4
3	BEST EXECUTION STRATEGY	4
4	EXECUTION POLICY	9
	2 Money Market Instruments and Debt Instruments	
4.1.	3 Other Transferable securities	10
	4 Derivatives traded on regulated markets or trading venues	
	5 Derivatives traded on OTC	
4.1.	6 UCI units	10
5	PERIODIC REPORTING ON THE EXECUTION POLICY	11
6	MONITORING AND REVIEW OF THE EXECUTION POLICY	12
	X A	
LIST	OF INTERMEDIARIES / BROKERS	13
A NINIE	ZV D	16



1 GLOSSARY AND DEFINITIONS

Acronym/Term	Explanation / Definition
CEO	Chief Executive Officer of the Company
Company	Plenisfer Investment SGR S.p.A.
UCI	Undertakings for Collective Investment
UCITS	Undertakings for the Collective Investment in Transferable Securities



2 Introduction

2.1 SCOPE

This document sets out the Company's order execution policy, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable laws, specified in the paragraph 2.2 with respect to the activities for which the Company is authorised.

In particular, the Company performs the following services for non-retail clients within the scope of its activity:

· collective asset management on behalf of the managed UCITS.

In addition to the collective management service, the Company is authorised for the investment service of portfolio management, which it does not currently carrying out.

2.2 APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The Objective of the Policy ("the Best Ex Policy") is to outline the process and the measures that the Company has adopted, which seek to provide the best possible result for its clients, when executing or transmitting orders to the market, market counterparties and / or other investment companies.

The Best Execution Policy is designed to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements of the following:

- Article n. 25 of the Commission Directive 2010/43/EU regarding the execution of decisions to deal on behalf of the managed UCITS and Article 26 of the same directive in relation to the placement of orders to deal on behalf of UCITS with other entities for execution;
- Article n. 27 of the Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council regarding the obligation to execute orders on terms most favourable to the client and of the related regulations (the so-called "MiFID II");
- Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 231/2013;
- Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 576/2017;
- Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 2017/565;
- Intermediaries Regulation adopted by resolution No. 20307 of 15 February 2018 and following amendments.

3 BEST EXECUTION STRATEGY

3.1 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

The main objective of the Company, while supplying the investment services of portfolio management (when the service will be provided) and the management of UCITS funds, is to adopt all the sufficient measures to obtain the best possible result for its clients. This does not imply that the best possible result will be achieved in every execution.

The Company defines the Policy with impartiality.

In order to achieve the before-mentioned result, the Company will consider the following relevant factors:

- price,
- market impact,
- market liquidity,
- · nature and size of the order,
- costs.
- · speed of execution,



- probability of execution, and
- · settlement as following described
- or any other consideration relevant to the execution of the order.

The Company will determine the relative importance of each factor according to the following criteria:

- As a manager of a CIU: the investment policy, objective, management style and specific risks of the fund;
- As a portfolio manager (when the service will be provided): the client characteristics / classification and (in case of fund structures) the characteristics of the product to be managed;
- The order characteristics (including the case of securities financing transaction);
- The characteristics of the financial instrument being traded;
- The characteristics of the trading venues on which such trade can be executed.

The above factors are not exhaustive. If other criteria are considered to be in the interest of the portfolios managed, such criteria can be taken into consideration. Depending on the specific circumstances, priority may be given to certain factors such as speed, likelihood of execution and settlement, the size or nature of the order, the impact on the market and any other costs implicit in the transaction, if these are instrumental in achieving the best possible result for the client(s).

Under no circumstances does the Company include the provision of investment research by the intermediary dealer among the best execution factors.

In its capacity as a portfolio manager, the Company considers the relative importance of the best execution factors. For clarity, costs referred to above include all the costs directly involved with the order execution including, but not limited to, commissions for the trading venues and counterparties, costs for matching and settlement and any other cost paid to third party in relation to the order execution.

The Best Execution factors will be considered for any security financing transaction.

If, when providing portfolio management services (when the service will be provided), Plenisfer's client gives specific trade related instructions, Plenisfer is required to comply with such instructions. Specific instructions by a client related to order execution may negatively impact the Company's ability to adopt all the measures foreseen by this Policy to obtain the best possible result in relation to the execution of the order subject to the specific instruction.

The Company shall:

- obtain the client's prior consent on the order execution policy;
- obtain the client's prior express consent, either through a general consent or in relation to individual transactions, before proceeding with the execution of the orders outside a trading venue.

When aggregating orders across UCITS and / or portfolio management services client portfolios (when the service will be provided), the Company must ensure that:

- the aggregation does not disadvantage of any UCITS or client portfolio whose order is to be aggregated;
- for any partially executed aggregation, the executed trades are allocated in accordance with the Plenisfer Trading Procedure.

Were the aggregation of orders include the Company's own account, the Company has to introduce safeguards that demonstrate with reasonable clarity that, without the aggregation, the Company could not have executed the order or could not have executed it under the same conditions.

The Company will not structure or charge its commission in any way that discriminates unfairly between execution venues.

The Company's Board of Directors may request reporting from Risk and Compliance to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's order execution strategy and control measures. If appropriate, the Board of Directors may suggest or request management to recommend enhancements to the controls associated with the Company's order execution strategy and / or control measures.

3.2 TRADING VENUES



Client orders may be executed on or outside trading venues.

Trading venues are:

- · Regulated markets;
- Multilateral Trading Facilities (MTF);
- Organize Trading Facilities (OTF).

Transactions may be executed Over the Counter (OTC) with counterparties who are one of the following:

- Systematic internalisers;
- Market Makers or other liquidity providers;
- Equivalent trading venues of an extra-European country (which perform in a third country a function similar to those performed by the aforementioned entities).

The Company shall always consider the best interest of the client in the selection of the trade counterparty.

Since the transactions made outside regulated venues are not subject to the rules defined by the trading venues, execution of orders outside a regulated venue may involve added risks associated with counterparties, settlement and other aspects related to the execution process.

The Company performs OTC transactions only with the customer's consent normally as agreed within the mandate.

The Company does not receive remuneration by channelling orders to a particular trading or execution venue, nor discounts or non-monetary benefits that violate the rules on conflicts of interest or incentives.

Moreover, the Company does not structure or apply its commissions in a way that leads to undue discrimination between one execution venue and another.

All the locations on which customer orders are executed according to the specific type of financial instrument are listed in Annex B, "List of Execution Venues", of this Execution Policy.

3.3 BEST EXECUTION FACTORS

The main factors the Company considers for the purpose of ensuring the best execution of orders are the **price** at which the order is executed and the **costs** in which the Company will occur for the execution of the transaction.

In order to seek Best Execution, the Investments team may consider other factors which, in relation to the size (quantity to be negotiated and estimated value) and the characteristics of the order to be executed (e.g. order related financial instrument listed or unlisted), may be relevant since they influence the determination of the price itself:

- Market impact;
- Liquidity;
- Size and nature;
- Speed of execution;
- Likelihood of execution and settlement.

Price

Price is usually the starting point of any negotiation with any counterparty across trading venues.

As such, the selection of the trading venue for the execution of the trading orders by the Investments team starts with the evaluation of the quality of the prices expressed on or by the available venues and the price action observed, always considering the liquidity and the market depth. For very liquid and standard instruments, price is a larger determinant of best execution, but still there are other factors to consider.

For more complex orders and instruments (including products like OTC derivatives), less liquid instruments or large orders relative to the market depth, other factors than price play more of a role in determining best execution. Where possible, the Investments team will seek out comparative prices, look for additional market volumes or evaluate alternative execution venues or strategies to ensure best execution.

Beyond price, the following factors, among others, play a key role in determining best execution:



Costs

With reference to each potential execution venue, the Investments team considers the costs associated with the transactions. In particular:

- the expenses incurred for the remuneration of any third party services (e.g. the stock exchange, the reference intermediaries in the regulated market, the costs related to the activity of a clearing house, the custodian bank), the costs of access to trading venues and generally all expenses directly and indirectly related to the execution of the customer's order (e.g. execution fees, including costs for subscribing, modifying or cancelling orders or expenses for the reimbursement of fund units, as well as any expenses relating to the access to market data or the use of terminals, expenses for clearing or settlement); and
- the costs and commissions connected to the services provided by any external intermediaries used for the transmission of orders to the execution venues.

Market Impact

Market impact refers to the effect market participants generate when they buy or sell a financial instrument within the market itself for the specific instrument(s) in question. In the execution of trading orders, the Investments team considers the possible impact on the market, especially for those orders of relevant size.

Liquidity

In the execution of orders, the Investments team considers the purchase and sale volumes typically available on the different trading venues and through which liquidity is guaranteed.

Size and Nature of the Order

In the execution of trading orders, the Investments team analyses the specific characteristics of the proposed orders. In particular, the Investments team takes into consideration the size of the transactions and any particular characteristics of the order, such as purchase order, sales order, order with price limit, order with facilitation, order with scheduled negotiation, order for a security financing transaction or any other characteristic which may be relevant to determine for the execution.

Speed of execution

The speed indicates the time that elapses between the transmission of the order and its execution in the context of a trading venue. The execution speed, for each trading venue, is mainly determined by the operational characteristics of the trading venue itself.

Execution and settlement risks

The likelihood an order will be executed in a trading venue depends largely on the liquidity of the financial instrument and the depth of the trading venue. The Investments team takes into account the risks that trading orders may be only partially executed. The Investments team also takes into account settlement risks associated with the financial instrument being traded (so-called "Technical fail").

3.4 Intermediary Selection

The Investment team selects entities with an execution policy consistent with the Best Execution strategy referred to in this document (see Annex A for the list of intermediaries, which may change from time to time).

In order to verify potential counter-party execution policies, the Investments team evaluates:

- Whether the entity in question is subject to the MiFID II requirements (or in the case of an entity from outside the EU, if the methods adopted in the provision of order execution services comply with the MiFID II requirements regarding Best Execution) concerning the obligation to execute orders at the most favourable conditions for the customer; and
- Whether the entity is able to demonstrate the high quality of execution of orders for the types of transactions envisaged.

Subject to the above criteria, the Investments team, based on their expert judgement, may select an entity based on the following criteria:

- Commissions: The Investment team considers the commissions applied by the entity for the execution of the



intended orders;

- Organisational Structure and Conflicts of Interest Management: The organisational structure and
 management of the conflict of interests must ensure the correct and optimal execution of the transmitted orders,
 as well as comply with the relevant regulations;
- Quality and efficiency of services: The Investment team the counterparty's service levels in particular, in relation to order execution;
- **Transaction Costs:** Capacity of the counterparty to minimise the total transaction costs, without impacting the financial strength of the counterparty itself;
- **Transaction specialisation:** The Investment team considers the speed of execution and the capacity to work with unusual volumes;
- Market access: The Investment team consider the counterparty' ability to access different markets to optimise trade execution;
- Quality of the settlement: The Investment team consider the counterparty track record in settling previous transactions;
- **Financial Strength:** The Investments team verifies the financial strength of the entity including, where available, the entity's credit rating (when trading OTC derivatives).

The Investments team will review its list of approved Intermediaries annually and may add entities to or remove entities from the approved list with the approval of the CEO. Any such changes will be communicated to the Board annually.



4 EXECUTION POLICY

4.1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

In relation to the different types of financial instruments, the Company has identified different models with which to pursue the Best Execution.

In particular, the Company has identified different transmission approaches or execution strategies for each of the following categories:

- Transferable securities:

- Equity instruments (company shares and other securities equivalent to company shares, partnerships or other entities and shares depositary receipts);
- Money Market Instruments and Debt Instruments (bonds and other debt securities as well as money market instruments, including certificates of deposit relating to these securities);
- Any other transferable security that can be acquired or sold or that entails a spot settlement determined with reference to transferable securities, currencies, interest rates or yields, commodities or other indices or measures;
- Derivatives traded on regulated markets of trading venues;
- Derivatives traded OTC;
- Undertakings of Collective Investment units or shares.

4.1.1 Equity Instruments

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following TRANSMISSION STRATEGY:

- For the transmission of orders to the market, the Investments team leverages on one of the brokers included in the List of Intermediaries (see Annex A, which may change from time to time), according to the related Best Execution Policy (see paragraph 3.4).
- The Investments team will give particular emphasis to monitoring and auditing counterparty activities to document how the Company believes the broker selection choices are the most advantageous to the interests of its clients.
- In accordance with Article 23 of Regulation (EU) No. 600/2014 (MiFIR), the Investments team ensures that trades
 made in equity instruments admitted to trading on a regulated market or traded on a trading venue, will have a
 place in a regulated market, multilateral trading facility, systematic internalisers, or in an equivalent third country
 trading venue.
- Although regulated, equity markets can present some of the challenges regularly faced in OTC markets. Therefore, occasions may arise where approaching more counterparties or using multiple venues might not be appropriate in order to avoid information leakages.

4.1.2 Money Market Instruments and Debt Instruments

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following **EXECUTION STRATEGY**:

- The Investments team executes orders on the venues (which may change from time to time)indicated in Annex B
 to this Execution Policy, according to the protocol defined on the trading venue for each type of financial instrument
 and considering the Best Execution factors referred to in the previous sections.
- Alternatively, and in relation to the nature of the order, the Investments team may rely on an adequate number of
 intermediaries (chosen among the aforementioned List of Intermediaries), which will be asked for a "quote" on the
 financial instrument subject of the transaction. In some situations, though, a broad approach to market venues /
 counterparties might jeopardise the achievement of the trade objective. In these cases, the trader can adopt different
 approaches in order to achieve best execution (see below).
- When the Intermediary acts as a "dealer", negotiating on its own positions in an RFQ mode ("request for quote"), the Investment team applies a different approach. Following the pricing communication and proper evaluation of the Best Execution Factors, the Investments team execute the transaction under the best conditions obtained.
 - o In such a case, the Investments team should not have any expectation on the application of the Best



Execution by the Intermediary and on the protection of the Company interests by the dealer.

- Therefore, it is duty of the Investments team to ensure the transaction will be carried out under the best possible conditions.
- In certain situations, the abovementioned strategies may not be applied due to the nature of the instrument or the
 contingent market conditions. In such cases, the trader can adopt different approaches in order to achieve best
 execution.
 - o In particular, a transmission of order strategy may be adopted where an intermediary may be selected (from the list in Annex A) to act as agent of the Company for the transaction. In such case, the Investments team has a legitimate expectation that the Intermediary will act on the basis of its own Best execution strategy, protecting the interests of the Company with the respect to the price, costs and other elements in the execution of the order transmitted.
 - When prices, volumes traded are not available daily and it is therefore impossible to assess a string of relevant prices and volumes, the Company will still seek a reliable price by asking selected counterparties for quotes. If this RFQ process does not produce any viable result, the Investments team can apply to a single counterparty (agency trade) to execute the trade.

4.1.3 Other Transferable securities

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following TRANSMISSION STRATEGY:

• The Investments team transmits the orders relating to the instruments listed above to one or more reference intermediaries, selected in accordance with the principles of this Policy.

4.1.4 Derivatives traded on regulated markets or trading venues

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following TRANSMISSION STRATEGY:

- Any orders related to this category of financial instruments are transmitted by the Investments team to one or more reference brokers, selected in accordance with the principles of this Policy.
- In accordance with article 28 of Regulation (EU) No. 600/2014 (MiFIR), transactions involving derivatives that are subject to the obligation to be negotiated on a trading venue¹ will be executed on a regulated market, multilateral trading systems, organized trading systems, or in a third country trading venue assessed as equivalent.

4.1.5 Derivatives traded on OTC

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following **EXECUTION STRATEGY**:

- The Investments team will execute transaction only with authorised counterparties.
- In relation to the type of transaction and bearing in mind the factors and criteria referred to in the previous sections, the Investments team will request quotes and trading conditions for the instrument in question in such a way as to ensure the fairness of the conditions proposed for execution to execute the transaction under the best conditions possible.

4.1.6 UCI units

For this category of financial instruments, the Company adopts the following TRANSMISSION STRATEGY:

- In this regard, the Investment team subscribes or redeems units or shares of collective investment undertakings
 on the Client's behalf directly from or to the corresponding entities or carries out the purchase and sale in the
 context of secondary markets.
- Such transactions are carried out on the basis of the NAV of the subscription / trading day. The Investment team
 always seeks, in relation to each transaction and the type of customer, the best commission class applicable to it.

¹ The complete list is published on the ESMA Public Register for the Trading Obligation for derivatives under MiFIR according to the provision of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/241.



5 PERIODIC REPORTING ON THE EXECUTION POLICY

Limited to the management of UCITS, the Investment team makes available appropriate information about the order execution strategy and any material changes thereto.

For the individual portfolio service:

- Annually and by class of financial instrument, the Investment team summarises and publishes on its website the list
 of the top five intermediaries to which it has sent orders and the top five execution venues where it has executed
 customer orders in the previous year, in terms of trading volume;
- In addition, the Company publishes for each class of financial instrument a summary of the Company's evaluation of the quality of execution obtained in reference to all client orders received in the previous year.



6 Monitoring and review of the Execution Policy

The Company's Compliance and Investment Functions verify, during the onboarding phase, that a perspective broker complies with the best execution requirements.

The Company's Risk Function verifies, on a sample basis, executed trade prices versus market data suggest a review of the trade against the best execution criteria. The Risk Function reports any identified best execution anomalies to the Investment Committee for further review by the Investment team and the Committee.

The Investment team evaluates the quality of the execution of transmitted trades and analyses and reports any anomalies to the Investment Committee for evaluation and any such anomalies will form part of the annual broker review.

The Company will review the policy at least annually or whenever a significant event occurs that may materially impact the effectiveness of the policy. An event is considered material when it affects the Company's ability to continue to obtain the best possible result for the orders of its clients on an ongoing and lasting basis.

Annually, Middle Office updates Annex A containing the list of authorised brokers.

The Investment team notifies customers with whom it maintains a relationship of any significant changes in the operations adopted for the execution of orders or of this Execution Policy.

Updates to this Execution Policies will be approved by the Board of the Company.



ANNEX A LIST OF INTERMEDIARIES / BROKERS

Broker Name	Cash and FX Instruments	OTC Trading	Clearing Broker for Listed Derivatives	Executing Broker for listed Derivatives(Give up Agreement)
Goldman Sachs Bank Europe, Frankfurt		X		
Goldman Sachs International, London	X			X
Morgan Stanley Europe SE, Frankfurt	X	X	X	X
JP Morgan SE, Frankfurt	X	X	X	
UBS AG, London	X	X		
UBS ESE, Frankfurt	X			X
Jefferies Gmbh, Frankfurt	X	X		
BancTrust Investment Bank Ltd	X			
Cantor Fitzgerald Europe	X			
HSBC France, Paris	X			



X			X
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
X			
	X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X



Dragon Capital (Cyprus) Ltd	X		
Mediobanca S.p.A.	X		
State Street Luxembourg SA (Depository)	X ²		

² For Fx trading.

ANNEX B

LIST OF EXECUTION VENUES

Venues	Bond	Equities	ETF	FX	ETD
Bloomberg MTF Europe	Х	Х	Х		X
FX ALL				Х	
Tradeweb MTF Europe	Х				